

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/680,452		10/07/2003	David L. Anderson	27644.17	4504
32300	7590	08/18/2005	EX		AMINER
		ORGAN P.A.		RIDLEY, F	UCHARD
2200 IDS CENTER 80 SOUTH 8TH ST				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEA	POLIS, M	IN 55402	3651		
				DATE MAILED: 08/18/2005	

DATE MAILED: 08/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Communication		10/680,452	ANDERSON ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Richard Ridley	3651				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
THE No. 2 - Exter after 1 - If the 1 - If NO. 2 - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perestore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steeply received by the Office later than three months after the mid patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) or ricd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 June 2005</u> .						
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 1-27,31 and 32 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 33-40 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	on Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applica priority documents have been recei reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment	(s)						
1) 🛛 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa					
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail (08) 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/680,452 Page 2

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 38 objected to because of the following informalities:
- > There are two claims numbered 38.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 33-36, 37, 38, 40 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Katoch USP 6135705.

Katoch discloses a similar device comprising a(n):

- > Set of recycling stacker shelves (36-38) that retract (fig. 2)
- Conveyor (13)
- ➤ Wherein the conveyor's depositing end track the motion of the set of recycling shelves (C3/L6-12 & 62-65)
- > Stack unloader (the stacks and removed and loader into case; C1/L45+)
- Overflow mechanism (speeds of the device are controlled accordingly to relieve overflow; i.e., the device can be appropriately slowed down and sped up; C3/L55)

Application/Control Number: 10/680,452 Page 3

Art Unit: 3651

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katoch in view of

Dimion USP 5964570.

Katoch discloses all of the claim limitations but does not explicitly disclose a jam

clearance mechanism.

Dimion, in his stacking device, broadly teaches the use of a jam clearance mechanism for

the purpose of providing for a means to clear jams (C7/L30-31+).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to have employed the use of a jam clearance mechanism, as taught by Dimion, in the

device of Katock for the purpose of providing for a means to clear jams.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-27, 31, 32 are allowed.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Art Unit: 3651

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Ridley whose telephone number is (571) 272-6917. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on (571) 272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard Ridley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3651

Richard Ridley 15 Aug 2005